



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

IC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,967	10/02/2000	Bradford G. Corbett JR.	0230CG-101	4319

7590 03/25/2002

Charles D Gunter Jr  
Felsman Bradley Vaden Gunter & Dillon LLP  
Suite 1600  
201 Main Street  
Fort Worth, TX 76102-3105

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

BLOUNT, STEVEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3726	

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CN

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	091676967 Examiner Blouet	Corbett, JR Group Art Unit 3736

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

**Status**

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1-12-01.

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

Claim(s) 1-1 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1-1 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is  approved  disapproved.

The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)**

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All  Some\*  None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Attachment(s)**

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Other \_\_\_\_\_

**Office Action Summary**

Art Unit: 3726

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as their invention.

In claim 1, a method of installing a gasket in a socket end of a thermoplastic pipe is claimed in the preamble, yet all that is claimed in the body of the claim is the method of forming the gasket, not installing the gasket in a pipe.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. patent 4329193 to Sznopek et al in view of U.S. patent 5,411,619 to Sundqvist et al.

With regard to claim 1, Sznopek et al teach the invention, including installing an elastomeric gasket (col 5, lines 40+) on a mandrel (col 6, lines 20+), and extruding a composite material including a thermosetting plastic. See col 5, lines 27+. Sznopek et al do not, however, teach extruding a strip of *thermoplastic* material. Extruding a strip of thermoplastic material about

Art Unit: 3726

a mandrel is taught in Sundqvist et al. See col 1, lines 14+. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have extruded a strip of thermoplastic material about the mandrel of Sznopek et al, in light of the teachings of Sundqvist, in order to provide a method for more easily coating the gasket with a cheaper and simpler material (pure resin) than one that requires the use of fiberglass.

With regard to claim 2, note that Sundqvist et al teach joining thermoplastic pipes (col 1, lines 1+); further, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to electroweld thermoplastic pipes together.

With regard to claim 3, while Sundqvist et al teach using a thermoplastic material, they do not explicitly teach extruding polyethylene. The use of Polyethylene as the extruding material is a matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or any new or unusual result achieved by using polyethylene as opposed to some other polymeric material.

With regard to claim 4, note the rejection of claim 1 above, and further note the rotation in figure 1 of Sundqvist, the locating area 28 in figure 2 of Sznopek et al, and cooling spray in col 2 lines 2+ of Sundqvist et al.

With regard to claim 5, note that it is common in operations such as these to terminate an extruding step while continuing to rotate its associated mandrel, and note the knife 9 in Sundqvist.

With regard to claim 6, note the rejection above of claim 3.

With regard to claim 7, see column 1, lines 57+ of Sundqvist.

With regard to claim 8, see member 11 of Sundqvist.

Art Unit: 3726

With regard to claim 9, again see member 9 of Sundqvist.

With regard to claim 10, see col 3 lines 54+ of Sundqvist et al.

With regard to claim 11, note the rejection of claim 2 above.

***Contact Information***

5. Official documents related to the instant application may be submitted to the Technology Center 3700 mail center by facsimile at (703) 872-9302 (responses before final) and 703-872-9303 (responses after final). Should Applicant desire to submit a DRAFT response to the Examiner by facsimile transmission, then Applicant should contact the Examiner at the number below for instructions concerning the transmission of DRAFT documents. Applicant is reminded to clearly mark any facsimile transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. Examiner Blount may be contacted at the Patent Office between the hours of 9:00 am to 5:30 P.M. Monday through Friday.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Steven Blount at telephone number (703) 305-0319.

SB  
SB  
3/19/02

  
S. THOMAS HUGHES  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700